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Strategic Sector Assessment:

(U//FOUO) U.S. Aviation

(U) Attention: Federal Departments and Agencies.

Warning: This document is classified (U//FOUO) for official use only. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act. It is to be considered secret. Unauthorized disclosure or copying of this document is prohibited. Such disclosure may result in disciplinary action or criminal prosecution. If you have any questions, please contact the DHS Office of Intelligence and Analysis.

This product contains U.S. proprietary information that has been deemed necessary for the intended recipient to understand, assess, or act on the information provided. It has been highlighted by the [redacted] label and should be handled in accordance with the recipient's Intelligence Oversight Information Handling Procedures. Other U.S. proprietary information has been removed. Should you require the unmasked U.S. Proprietary information, please contact the DHS/ISA Production Management Division.
(U) **Scope**

(U) This Strategic Sector Assessment is one in a series of overall assessments of the potential terrorist threats to critical infrastructure/key resources. The series of assessments is intended to provide decision makers broad, analytically-based threat information that they can use to inform investment priorities and program design in conjunction with strategic planning. The series also provides the analytic foundation for incident reports and threat warnings produced by DHS and other federal partners. Federal infrastructure partners and the private sector assisted in the preparation of this report.
(U) Key Findings

(U//FOUO) DHS continues to receive information on terrorist threats to the U.S. aviation industry and to the Western aviation industry worldwide;

(U) Threat Overview

(U//FOUO) An independent assault at the Los Angeles International Airport (LAX) in July 2002 that left two dead and four wounded near the El Al ticket counter, remains the sole successful aviation-related terrorist attack within the United States since 11 September 2001.
(U//FOUO) *Al-Qa'ida Represents the Greatest Threat to the Aviation Industry*

(U//FOUO) Al-Qa'ida continues to remain the greatest threat to the U.S. aviation industry and the traveling public.

— (U//FOUO) In December 1999, Ahmed Ressam was arrested on his way to attack LAX.

— (U//FOUO) In December 2001, Richard Reid unsuccessfully attempted to destroy American Airlines Flight 63 with a shoe bomb.¹

— (U//FOUO) In 2002, a Sudanese man confessed that he had fired a man-portable air defense system (MANPADS) missile at a U.S. Air Force aircraft taking off from a base in Saudi Arabia.² It was a military aircraft in what DoD termed a combat zone.

— (U//FOUO) In 2002, al-Qa'ida planned a suicide hijacking to attack the U.S. Bank Tower/Library Tower in Los Angeles.³

— (U//FOUO) In May 2003, reports linked al-Qa'ida to a plan to fly an explosives-laden general aviation aircraft into the U.S. Consulate in Karachi, Pakistan.⁴,⁵
In the summer of 2003, al-Qa'ida planned to use camera flash attachments as stun guns. They also intended to use cameras to disguise bomb components in order to hijack commercial airliners and crash them into targets in Western countries, including along the U.S. East Coast and in Australia, Britain, and Italy.\textsuperscript{10,11}

As of early July 2005, al-Qa'ida reportedly had planned to attack military and civilian airports in Los Angeles and San Diego in September or October of 2005. The possible tactics included the hijacking of an airliner on the way from India to the United States, or a flight from Mexico.\textsuperscript{12}

These plots and attacks provide insight into al-Qa'ida’s tactics, adaptability, ingenuity, and tenacity. Al-Qa'ida has used suicide hijackings, an array of explosive devices, and standoff weapons to attack aviation targets. Al-Qa'ida has adapted to increased aviation security by shifting planned suicide hijackings from domestic carriers to international flights bound for the United States to take advantage of perceived less effective security screening at some foreign airports. The use of improvised explosive devices (IEDs) has evolved from using explosives to attack airports, to integrating an IED within a shoe to bring down an airliner, and to expectations that an IED could be used to gain access to an airliner flight deck. Al-Qa'ida’s ingenuity was evident in its attempts to convert items into weapons (camera flash attachments into stun guns) and to design IEDs into apparently non-threatening forms (a shoe and a camera). Finally, nine of the plots took place after September 2001, demonstrating a continued commitment to attack aviation-related targets.
**Table 1: Al-Qa‘ida-Affiliated and Other Groups Also Pose a Threat to U.S. Aviation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th># of Attacks on Aviation</th>
<th>Year of Last Attack</th>
<th>Demonstrated Reach for Aviation Attacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAMAS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revolutionary Armed Forces of Columbia (FARC)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Colombia / Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu Sayyaf Group</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armed Islamic Group (GIA)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Algeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moro Islamic Liberation Front (MILF)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Liberation Army (Colombia)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine - General Command (PFLP-GC)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Europe / Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam (LTTE)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>South Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Peoples Army (NPA)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine (PFLP)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>US / Europe / Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riyadus-Saliheyn Martyrs' Brigade</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shining Path</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communist Party of Nepal (Maoists) (CPN(M))</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Nepal / India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taliban</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Central Asia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(U//FOUO) **Hizballah:** A Lebanese-based radical Shia group inspired by the Iranian revolution and the teachings of Ayatollah Khomeini, Hizballah has established cells in Africa, Europe, North America, and South America.

(U//FOUO) **Other Threats:** Many other groups with anti-American views (see table 1) have attacked aviation targets in the Middle East and South America.

(U//FOUO) The lone terrorist, acting out of personal outrage and with no support or communication with other terrorists, also poses a serious threat to the U.S. aviation industry. A Muslim radical carried out the only aviation-related terrorist attack within the United States since 2001—a July 2002 armed attack near the El Al ticket counter at LAX.23

(U) **Historical Background of Improved Security Measures***

*(U//FOUO)* The 2001 attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon were of such a magnitude and impact that the number of previous terrorist attacks against the U.S. aviation targets often is overlooked. According to the Terrorism Knowledge Base maintained by the National Memorial Institute for the Prevention of Terrorism, 54 aviation-related terrorist attacks against the Homeland (including Puerto Rico) occurred between 1968 and 1984. Between 1985 and 2001 there were no aviation-related terrorist attacks within the United States, and only one since 2001.

(U//FOUO) The 15-year hiatus in terrorist hijacking incidents was probably due in large part to the introduction of increased security measures. In 1970 following the destruction of two U.S. airliners at the hands of the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, President Nixon announced the comprehensive anti-hijacking program, which included putting “sky marshals” on selected flights. After additional hijackings, the Federal Aviation Administration issued an emergency rule in 1972 requiring U.S. air carriers to inspect all carry-on baggage for weapons or other dangerous objects and search each passenger physically or with a metal detector. Additional security measures were instituted for U.S. airlines operating in Europe and the Middle East. The **al-Qa’ida attacks in 2001 highlighted the limitations of these measures.**


(U//FOUO) **Scenarios of Concern**
(U) Aircraft as Weapons

(U//FOUO) The aviation industry since 2001 has implemented additional security measures intended to thwart airline hijacking.
operators. Greenpeace protestors on two occasions have used powered parachutes to penetrate security measures and over-fly meetings between the U.S. President and European leaders, and to land a protestor on the roof of a nuclear reactor containment building in Switzerland.25

Figure 4: (U) Greenpeace activists disrupting an international meeting
Towed Gliders and Hot-Air Balloons: These are unlikely terrorist choices. While a fiberglass glider may offer a stealth capability, it does not carry fuel, has a small payload, and depends more on weather than does a powered aircraft. In addition, towed gliders are often difficult to pilot and require pilot certification, which could result in law enforcement scrutiny. Similarly, balloons are easily spotted due to their size, low speed, and lack of unmanned precision guidance capability, making them unlikely terrorist attack platforms.

Aircraft as Targets

U.S. aircraft, especially fully fueled and loaded large passenger aircraft, remain inviting targets for terrorists. The destruction of a large passenger airliner would garner terrorists immediate worldwide media attention, provide the desired terrorist symbolism, and deter the flying public at a time when many airlines are financially troubled.

Onboard Attack: In their 2004 study of terrorist threats against LAX, the Rand Corporation determined that plots involving the detonation of an IED aboard a commercial airliner had the greatest potential for loss of life. In spite of security improvements, a number of potential scenarios exist for getting a bomb aboard a passenger airliner. A disassembled bomb could be smuggled aboard in smaller, innocent-looking or easily concealed components. For example, IED components could be smuggled aboard as typical carry-on items, such as shampoo or medicine bottles and electronic devices, and later assembled in an aircraft lavatory. A bomb could also be smuggled aboard in checked baggage, cargo, or supplies. A trusted airline, airport, or aircraft maintenance employee could place the IED onboard, either in the United States or overseas. If properly placed, such a device would not have to be large or devastingly powerful; terrorists used less than a pound of plastic explosives to destroy Pan American Airlines Flight 103 over Lockerbie, Scotland, in December 1988.

Hijacking an aircraft in order to take hostages is another possibility; however, carrying out such a hijacking would likely be difficult. The terrorists would have to overcome the same security measures facing a group of suicide hijackers. In addition, they would have to be able to control the passengers and convince the flight crew to follow their demands.

Standoff Attack: In spite of the United States' international effort to reduce and control their availability, MANPADS remain a serious potential threat to the U.S. aviation industry. While older missiles may be unreliable and newer, more-capable missiles may be hard to obtain and difficult to operate, these systems have the potential to inflict a high death toll with minimal risk to the operator.

Al-Qa'ida has used MANPADS-type weapons on at least two occasions overseas and in both cases, the operatives fired their missiles without being detected or apprehended. There is no reason to assume al-Qa'ida would not use them in the United States if the operatives
Federal law enforcement officers have arrested individuals attempting to obtain these systems. In each case, however, the weapons did not physically exist or never came to the United States.

(U) Aviation Facilities and Systems as Targets

(U//FOUO) Airport Terminals:

Since 2001, enhanced security procedures and passenger and luggage screening have slowed the movement of passengers within terminals at peak periods,
(U) Reporting Notice:

(U) DHS encourages recipients of this document to report information concerning suspicious or criminal activity to the local FBI Joint Terrorism Task Force (JTTF) and the Homeland Security Operations Center (HSOC). The FBI regional phone numbers can be found online at http://www.fbi.gov/contact/fo/fo.htm, and the HSOC can be reached by telephone at (202) 267-0200 or by email at info@hsoc.gov. For information affecting the private sector and critical infrastructure, contact the National Infrastructure Coordinating Center (NICCC), a sub-element of the HSOC. The NICCC can be reached by telephone at (703) 513-3133 or by email at info@nicc.gov. Each report submitted should include the date, time, location, type of activity, number of people and type of equipment used for the activity, the name of the submitting company or organization, when this information is available, and a designated point of contact.

(U) For comments or questions related to the content or dissemination of this document, please contact the DHS/I&I Production Management staff at i@hq.dhs.gov.
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